

# Isotropization of non-diagonal Bianchi I symmetric spacetimes with collisionless matter

*(based on arXiv 1007.0184)*

Ernesto Nungesser

Max-Planck-Institute for Gravitational Physics, Albert-Einstein-Institute

ERE 2010, Granada



## Why study Bianchi spacetimes?

- Inhomogeneous spacetimes are quite difficult
- BKL-analysis of cosmological singularities, general solutions behave like homogeneous solutions (Bianchi IX “Mixmaster”)

# Why am I studying Bianchi spacetimes?

- To study the (mathematical) structure of the Einstein equations
- Study of the Einstein equations with a *kinetic description* of the matter
- Study of the asymptotics, first the “easy” direction in an “easy” Bianchi type

## My spacetime: the easiest case, Bianchi I

- ${}^4g = -dt^2 + g_{ab}(t)dx^a dx^b$
- The “easiest” homogeneous spacetime which is not (necessarily) isotropic
- Translations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as homogeneity group
- “Basis” for higher Bianchi types
- The only Bianchi type besides type  $VII_0$  which includes Flat Friedmann, but in principle all Bianchi types are compatible with a *quasiisotropic* epoch...

## My matter model: collisionless matter

- Vlasov = Boltzmann without collision term
- Kinetic description  $f(t, x^a, p^a)$  often used in (astro)physics
- Perfect fluid is somehow natural in an isotropic spacetime, assuming a linear equation of state makes life simple...
- Vlasov avoids certain unphysical singularities

- For Bianchi I the Vlasov equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + 2k_b^a p^b \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^a} = 0.$$

can be solved explicitly and  $f$  if expressed as a function of  $p_i$  is independent of time

- In particular

$$\rho = \int f_0(p_i) (m^2 + g^{cd} p_c p_d)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\det g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dp_1 dp_2 dp_3$$

$$S_{ab} = \int f_0(p_i) p_a p_b (m^2 + g^{cd} p_c p_d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\det g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dp_1 dp_2 dp_3$$

$$T_{0a} = 0$$

- The fact that the dependence on  $p_i$  remains makes the whole system a borderline case between dynamical systems and systems of PDE due to the “integro-differential” aspect. We will use PDE-techniques.

## 3+1 decomposition and initial value formulation

- Instead of  $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$  we use spatial metric  $g_{ab}$  and the second fundamental form  $k_{ab}$ .
- Then EE for Bianchi I simplify to

$$\dot{g}_{ab} = -2k_{ab}$$

$$\dot{k}_{ab} = Hk_{ab} - 2k_{ac}k_b^c - 8\pi(S_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab} \text{tr } S) - 4\pi\rho g_{ab}$$

where we have used the notations  $\text{tr } S = g^{ab}S_{ab}$ ,  $H = \text{tr } k$ . From the constraint equations:

$$-k_{ab}k^{ab} + H^2 = 16\pi\rho$$

$$T_{0a} = 0$$

## Physical motivation: Stability of Einstein-de Sitter

- Matter-dominated Era, relatively small eigenvelocities of galaxies
- Does Velocity dispersion decay due to expansion?
- Isotropization?
- “Structural stability” of the fluid model at late times?
- Yes for Bianchi I + reflection symmetry [Rendall 96]
- This model is diagonal, what happens for the non-diagonal case?



## Small data assumptions

- Close to isotropic: shear parameter,  $F = \frac{\sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab}}{H^2}$  is small, where  $\sigma_{ab}$  is the trace-free part and  $H$  the trace of the second fundamental form
- $F \sim$  temperature fluctuations  $\Delta T/T$
- Maximal Velocities  $P$  are bounded, i.e. the spacetime is close to dust, where  $P$  is

$$P(t) = \sup\{|p| = (g^{ab}p_ap_b)^{\frac{1}{2}} | f(t, p) \neq 0\}$$

## Theorem

*Consider any  $C^\infty$  solution of the Einstein-Vlasov system with Bianchi I-symmetry and with  $C^\infty$  initial data. Assume that  $F(t_0)$  and  $P(t_0)$  are sufficiently small. Then at late times one can make the following estimates:*

$$H(t) = -2t^{-1}(1 + O(t^{-1}))$$

$$P(t) = O(t^{-\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon})$$

$$F(t) = O(t^{-2})$$

[2nd eq. implies that asymptotically there is a dust-like behaviour  
 $S_{ab}/\rho = O(t^{-\frac{4}{3}+\epsilon})$ ]

## Generalized Kasner exponents

- Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $k_{ij}$  with respect to  $g_{ij}$ , i.e., the solutions of  $\det(k_j^i - \lambda \delta_j^i) = 0$
- We define  $p_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{H}$  as the *generalized Kasner exponents*. They satisfy the first but not the second Kasner relation
- Example: Einstein - de Sitter ( $p_i = \frac{1}{3}$ )

$${}^4g = -dt^2 + t^{\frac{4}{3}}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2).$$

## Theorem

Consider the same assumptions as in the previous theorem. Then

$$p_i = \frac{1}{3} + O(t^{-1})$$

and

$$g_{ab} = t^{+\frac{4}{3}}[\mathcal{G}_{ab} + O(t^{-2})]$$

$$g^{ab} = t^{-\frac{4}{3}}[\mathcal{G}^{ab} + O(t^{-2})]$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_{ab}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{ab}$  are independent of  $t$ .

# Outlook

- Other Bianchi types?
- As a first step analysis of diagonal, but non-LRS spacetimes starting with Bianchi II
- There are other solutions which may act as “attractors”, for instance the Collins-Stewart solution for Bianchi II
- Later: higher Bianchi types and inhomogeneous space-times as Gowdy-symmetric ones
- Is it possible to remove the small data assumption(s)?